	Page 1			
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
3	Case No. 08-01789			
4	x			
5				
6	In the Matter of:			
7				
8	SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION			
9	Plaintiff,			
10	v.			
11				
12	BERNARD MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES, LLC. ET AL,			
13				
14	Defendants,			
15	x			
16	U.S. Bankruptcy Court			
17	One Bowling Green			
18	New York, New York			
19				
20	August 29, 2012			
21	10:03 AM			
22				
23	BEFORE:			
24	HON BURTON LIFLAND			
25	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE			

Page 2 1 TRIAL RE: 08-01789-brl Securities Investor Protection 2 Corporation v. Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, LLC. et al 3 4 5 (Cc-4951) Eight Application Of Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For 7 Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of Actual And Necessary Expenses Incurred From October 1, 2011 Through January 31, 8 9 2012 For Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP, Special 10 Counsel, Period: 10/01/11 To 01/31/2012, Fee: 11 \$3,203,844.60, Expenses \$24,142.98 12 13 (cc-4937) Application Of Eugene F. Collins As Special 14 Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim 15 Compensation For Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of 16 Actual And Necessary Expenses Incurred From October 1, 20 17 II Through January 31,2012 For Eugene F. Collins, Special Counsel, Period: 10/1/2011 To 1/31/2012, 18 19 Fee:\$4724.98, Expenses: \$44.99. 20 21 (cc-4938) Application Of Schiltz & Schiltz As Special 22 Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim 23 Compensation For Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of 24 Actual And Necessary Expenses Incurred From October I, 25 2011 Through January 31,2012 For Schiltz & Schiltz,

Page 3 1 Special Counsel, Period: 10/1/2011 To 1/31/2012, 2 Fee: \$31324.35, Expenses: \$2821.49. 3 4 (cc-4939) Application Of Higgs & Johnson (Formerly Higgs 5 Johnson Truman Bodden & Co.) As Special Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For 7 Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of Actual And Necessary Expenses Incurred From October I, 2011 Through 8 9 January 31,2012 For Higgs Johnson Truman Bodden & Co., 10 Special Counsel, Period: 10/1/2011 To 1/31/2012, 11 Fee: \$74979.00, Expenses: \$2423.24. 12 13 (cc-4940) Application Of Soroker-Agmon As Special Counsel 14 To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For 15 Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of Actual And Necessary 16 Expenses Incurred From September 20, 20 II Through January 17 31,2012 For Soroker-Agmon, Special Counsel, Period: 18 9/20/2011 To 1/3112012, Fee:\$216160.74, Expenses: \$3377.18. 19 20 (cc-4941) Application Of Graf & Pitkowitz Rechtsanwalte 21 GMBH As Special Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of 22 Interim Compensation For Services Rendered And 23 Reimbursement Of Actual And Necessary Expenses Incurred From October 1, 2011 Through January 31, 2012 For Graf & 24 Pitkowitz Rechtsanwalte GMBH, Special Counsel, Period: 25

Page 4 1 10/1/2011 To 1/31/2012, Fee:\$499110.69, Expenses: 2 \$16937.56. 3 4 (cc-4942) Application Of SCA Creque As Special Counsel To 5 The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of Actual And 7 Necessary Expenses Incurred From October 1, 2011 Through January 31, 2012 For SCA Creque, Special Counsel, Period: 8 10/1/2011 To 1/31/2012, Fee:\$259062.59, Expenses: \$1900.00. 9 10 11 (cc-4947) Application Of Attias & Levy As Special Counsel 12 To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For 13 Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of Actual And 14 Necessary Expenses Incurred From October 1, 2011 Through 15 January 31,2012 For Attias & Levy, Special Counsel, 16 Period: 10/1/2011 To 1/31/2012, Fee:\$45845.67, Expenses: 17 \$1104.43. 18 (cc-4943) Application Of Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor 19 20 LLP As Special Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of 21 Interim Compensation For Services Rendered And 22 Reimbursement Of Actual And Necessary Expenses Incurred 23 From October 1, 2011 Through January 31,2012 For Young Conaway Starqatt & Taylor, LLP, Special Counsel, Period: 24 25 10/112011 To 113112012, Fee:\$24589.80, Expenses:\$967.27.

Page 5 1 (cc-4936) Ninth Application Of Trustee And Baker & 2 Hostetler LLP For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For 3 Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of Actual And 4 Necessary Expenses Incurred From October I, 2011 Through January 31,2012 For Baker & Hostetler, L.L.P., Trustee's 5 6 Attorney, Period:10/1/2011 To 113112012, Fee:\$48107863.8, 7 Expenses: \$866625.44. 8 Seanna Brown 212 589 4230 9 10 Application Of Williams, Barristers & Attorneys (cc-4944)11 As Special Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of 12 Interim Compensation For Services Rendered And 13 Reimbursement Of Actual And Necessary Expenses Incurred 14 From October 1, 2011 Through January 31, 2012 For 15 Williams, Barristers & Attorneys, Special Counsel, Period: 16 10/1/2011 To 1/31/2012, Fee:\$418955.09, Expenses: \$0.00. 17 18 (cc-4945) Application Of Taylor Wessing As Special Counsel 19 To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For 20 Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of Actual And 21 Necessary Expenses Incurred From October 1, 2011 Through 22 January 31, 2012 For Taylor Wessing, Special Counsel, Period: 10/1/2011 To 1/31/2012, Fee:\$1071518.18, Expenses: 23 24 \$529103.58. 25

Page 6 1 (cc-4946) Application Of UGGC & Associates As Special 2 Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of 3 Actual And Necessary Expenses Incurred From October 1, 4 5 2011 Through January 31,2012 For UGGC & Associes, Special 6 Counsel, Period: 10/1/2011 To 1/31/2012, Fee:\$63850.89, 7 Expenses: \$799.49. 8 9 (cc-4948) Application Of Werder Vigano As Special Counsel 10 To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For 11 Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of Actual And 12 Necessary Expenses Incurred From October 1, 2011 Through 13 January 31, 2012 For Werder Vigano, Special Counsel, 14 Period: 10/1/2011 To 1/31/2012, Fee:\$1744.96, Expenses: 15 \$0.00. 16 17 (cc-4949) Application Of Greenfield Stein & Senior, LLP As Special Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim 18 19 Compensation For Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of 20 Actual And Necessary Expenses Incurred From October 1, 21 2011 Through January 31,2012 For Greenfield Stein & 22 Senior, LLP, Special Counsel, Period: 10/1/2011 To 23 1/31/2012, Fee:\$25051.56, Expenses: \$631.85. 24 25

Page 7 1 (cc-4950) Application Of Browne Jacobson, LLP As Special 2 Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim 3 Compensation For Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of 4 Actual And Necessary Expenses Incurred From October 27, 2011 Through January 31, 2012 For Browne Jacobson, LLP, 5 6 Special Counsel, Period: 10/1/2011 To 1/31/2012, Fee: 7 \$15780.46, Expenses: \$2703.59. 8 9 (cc-4920) Motion For Order Scheduling Hearing On Trustees 10 Motion Affirming Denial Of Time-Based Damages Adjustment To 11 Customer Claims. David Sheehan 212-589-4200 12 13 Adj From 8/9/2012; Adj From 8/23/12; Adj From 8/29/2012 14 15 Stricken - Rescheduled Adj. To 9/5/2012 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 Transcribed by: Lee M. Sapp 25

	Page 8
1	APPEARANCES:
2	BAKER HOSTETLER
3	Attorneys for Trustee
4	45 Rockefeller Plaza
5	New York, New York 10111
6	
7	BY: DAVID SHEEHAN, ESQ.
8	
9	Securities Inv. Protection Corporation
	ТН
10	805 15 St., NW., Suite 800
11	Washington, D.C. 20005-2215
12	
13	BY: KEVIN BELL, ESQ.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 9 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 MR. SHEEHAN: Morning, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. SHEEHAN: I think the -- the only matter on 4 5 Your Honor's calendar today are a number of applications for interim allowance of fees and expenses in the Madoff matter 7 if I'm correct. And I'd like to just to dive right into those if I could. 8 9 THE COURT: Sure. 10 MR. SHEEHAN: I should note for the record --11 THE COURT: This matter always draws a lot of media attention outside the courtroom but --12 13 MR. SHEEHAN: I know. THE COURT: -- inside the courtroom --14 15 MR. SHEEHAN: I guess they must be all in that 16 other courtroom you know with the TV cameras or something I 17 don't know. 18 THE COURT: Well they're also working over there to 19 ratchet up a lot of fees as well. 20 MR. SHEEHAN: For the record, Your Honor, I'd just 21 like to go through each of these applications and I know I 22 should as I was going to say no for the record. There is no 23 objection that's been filed to any of the fee application before Your Honor this morning including that of Baker 24 25 Hostetler matter.

As Your Honor well knows this is an extraordinary proceeding involving jurisdictions throughout the world. And we have retained counsel to assist the trustee in those endeavors and I'd just like to quickly survey them and in effect report to Your Honor orally at least in general about what those folks are doing and why they were engaged. some of them have been long standing such as the first which is Mr. Collins of Ireland. As you know very early on we were trying -- trying to chase the money as it were for a variety of different feeder funds. The Thema Fund was a very major fund located in Ireland that had been fed by several of the other funds. We retained counsel to monitor those proceedings. Made the decision early not to appear there and have continued with that approach to date. So therefore the time there is relatively minimum, it's only twenty two hours but in essence it's to monitor what was going on there and keep an eye on it. Our interest are not really imperiled by not proceeding there because the monies that we're looking for from the other funds such as King Gate are more accurately located in BBI and Bermuda where we're very, very active and in London as well.

The other -- next firm is from Luxemburg, Schiltz & Schiltz. The Trustee interestingly enough has been sued in Luxemburg by a number of the investors who are obviously dissatisfied with the outcome of Madoff. Along with I

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

should note hundreds of other folks that have been sued as well. We are responding to that. Shiltz is handling that matter. We don't see it as a serious matter or a substantive matter but he has been sued there. Question is whether or not we quite frankly bring it before Your Honor and say you can't be sued you can only be sued here. Right now we haven't decided to do that nor is there any urgency for us to bring that before Your Honor.

The next is Higgs & Johnson that's the Cayman Islands. There's two matters there. Harley is one of the more interesting sort of impacts as some of the other things that we're probably going to discuss next week. Harley made a decision not to file a claim. And obviously it was a conscious decision. They had over a five hundred million dollar (\$500m) claim when they made it but we had a billion dollar (\$1b) fraudulent transfer claim against them. So they decided to avoid the jurisdiction probably on the basis that it was highly unlikely the trustee here was going to collect any money so why bother to file.

Since then we've been actively engaged with them.

They're talking to us. When you start to add nine (\$9b) to eleven billion (\$11b) dollars to distribute people get interested in perhaps having a claim. So now they're talking to us about that. That's going to be a long drawn out affair. We have a statutory bar date. We have a lot of

hurdles to overcome there. I don't think that's going to happen and there's no real money on the table as yet but as a result we have activity going back and forth with the Cayman Islands and what's occurring there because there is ongoing litigation there. There is a liquidator appointed a fiduciary who continues to try to pursue what he can but has very limited resources. And that's the principle reason we've been talking is with the idea that if we could come up with a way that we could honor some of their claim that would give him the ability to work with us in a collaborative way to pursue third parties that obviously are from -- from our perspective obviously responsible for the monies no longer being with Harley. That -- so that's the efforts of Higgs and Johnson.

Soroker and Agmon one of the more interesting cases that we have. It's in Israel it involves Magnify as the source of these funds. Magnify took a hundred and thirty five million dollars (\$5m) of fictitious profits out of BLMIS over a course of fifteen to twenty years through a series of different individuals most of it ended up in the hands of Yishai Horowitz Association which is a not for profit organization in Israel. We have filed suit against Yishai Horowitz's application to wind down and to be able to close its books. We have frozen that and have actively cooperated with the Israeli government and they are working

		1 9 13 01 27			
		Page 13			
1		Page 13			
2	1	with us to pursue that. At the same time there is a			
3	2	principle individual named Yar Green. We named him in our			
4	3	litigation here before Your Honor for Magnify and we are			
5	4	pursuing that as well and have prepared pleadings to pursue			
6	5	most of the assets that ended up circuitously going through			
7	6	a variety of different channels and then ending up most			
8	7	of them in Mr. Green's and his relatives hands. To that is			
9	8	a very active litigation and you can see substantial hours			
10	9	by the Soroker firm there.			
11	10	And next is Graf & Pitkowitz			
		in in Austria.			
12					
	11	In Austria we have not filed any litigation. What we do			
13	1.0				
	12	have is active ongoing participation in the criminal			
14	10				
1 -	13	proceedings. As Your Honor knows we have different			
15	1.4	was done have in the Weited Obster shedeneds were			
1.6	14	procedures here in the United States obviously you're			
16	15	involvement with the UC Attenney/a Office has been one of			
17	15	involvement with the US Attorney's Office has been one of			
1,	16	support and collaboration while we don't participate in			
18	10	support and corrabolation while we don't participate in			
	17	their proceedings obviously.			
19		energy cavactary.			
	18	Well in Europe they have a different approach as			
20		a transport and a second approximation			
-	19	Your Honor is I'm sure well aware. And then in Austria what			
21					
	20	we have is a participant actually recognized as a			
22					
	21	participant in the proceedings. Mr. Picard has as the			
23					
	22	Trustee registered as a victim. As a result we can actually			
24					
	23	participate in those proceedings we can appear and be			
25	24	present while witnesses are being interviewed by the			
	25	prosecutor. We can actually give the prosecutor questions			

to ask. We get access to the documents there. This has been an invaluable resource for us in chasing and finding the assets that obviously passed through Bank Medici back Austria and Sonja Kohn as well as other -- Euro Valor and a number of other feeder funds that she created as part of this scheme that orbited in Austria. So we have Graf and Pitkowitz there on a regular basis working with us on those efforts.

SCA Creque is located in BBI. BBI's a very active forum for us for a number of reasons. One is is that we have King Gate there. King Gate is a major target for us. There's over a billion dollars at stake there. individuals are Serretti and Grasso. We've sued them here. We also filed a provisional lawsuit in London as well in the United Kingdom because that's where they both reside. created quite a -- an artifice here that B -- in BBI we have counsel helping us with regard to King Gate which is the actual fund itself. In Bermuda is King Gate Management Limited both of these entities by the way have liquidators so we deal with the liquidators so we deal with the liquidators for both of those. And what we're trying to work out is a collaborative arrangement with both of those liquidators. We're closer quite frankly with one than the other. With the idea that eventually what we'll be able to do is is to assist them by honoring their claims, work out a

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

financial arrangement. And it's a very difficult negotiation that we have going on with them. Third party sources some of this is in the news, Your Honor, has probably seen it where DBSI has been sued by King Gate trying to enforce its agreement for eight hundred and twenty five million dollars (\$825m) with the recent events turning up the value of the claims that's become even more intense. And we have participants that are part of that deal. Perry being sued by you know another entity with regard to they want a piece of the deal. So what has now happened is as a result of what we've been able to achieve quite frankly and the value that the Trustees brought to these claims we have very interesting litigation taking place between major financial institutions and hedge funds over what has become a secondary market in the customer claims associated with Madoff. And that's actually what's happening here.

And a good deal of that will depend upon what success we do have with regard to King Gate and ultimately Serretti and Grasso traveling through BBI up to Bermuda and then eventually into the United Kingdom. And that firm the Creque Firm has been very, very helpful to us since there's a lot of act -- active litigation there as Your Honor well knows from the Fairfield case unfortunately not our Fairfield case the other Fairfield case.

Then we have Bermuda that's -- I don't need to

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

repeat that is again King Gate. The Barristers there assist us with the King Gate management as I mentioned earlier the management company for King Gate.

Next is Keller -- Taylor Wessing. Taylor Wessing is probably the most interesting situation for us and one that Your Honor I think given your chapter fifteen background will find most interesting. What we've engaged in there is a cooperative agreement which Your Honor has approved with Mr. Akers who was appointed liquidator for the MSIL. That was Mr. Madoff's London operation used by him to create the impression that trades were taking place in the European market. Of course we all now know that never happened.

But in any event as a result of those activities his family was actively involved there Peter and the sons. He was obviously actively involved. We have all the directors and Sonja Kohn was a major player in the MSIL operation. So we have joined in a litigation that's been instituted by Mr. Akers under a collaboration agreement where all the funds based principally around two reasons. One is Mr. Picard is now as Mr. Madoff was in fact the ninety nine percent owner of MSIL. So since we substantively consolidated he is the sole share holder in effect where alternatively he has the largest claim literally hundreds of millions of dollars that -- of money

that round tripped through MSIL and never made it back to BLMIS.

So we are collaborating with the idea that we will ultimately win that lawsuit and be able to bring back into the estate through MSIL monies that will ultimately come here and benefit the BLMIS customers who were obviously defrauded through this scheme. We've also as I said instituted there a case against Sonja Kohn. Sonja Kohn as we've alleged here in the rico action and which is still pending against her. There were other parties that have been dismissed in the circuit but we have instituted an action separately against her there and we brought as Your Honor is again I'm sure aware a freezing order which allows us to have a worldwide freeze against all of her assets that was entered by the court after a full week of hearings in which we presented evidence through Taylor Wessing with regard to her activities and which he found very strong evidence in our favor. That ultimately as you would have in any injunction setting a very strong likelihood of success. As a result of that we have as I say worldwide injunction freezing order against her assets she has had very difficult time coming forward and being -- we now have a contempt proceeding against her cause she's failed to identify assets which we know exists and have brought to the attention of the court in which she failed to reveal. So this is turning

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

into a collateral fight with her that ultimately may ult -end up in liability funding against her because of her
failure to perform.

And since it is a freezing order, that is application throughout Europe, we've taking that order and we've gone to Austria with it, we've gone to Gibraltar with it. So the firms that I mentioned earlier Graf Pitkowitz have assisted us with that in Austria. We're also going to travel with it to Israel where we understand they will also recognize the validity of the order. So --

THE COURT: Have you had any report as to what has been captured by the freezing orders?

MR. SHEEHAN: So far it's about twenty million pounds (£20,000000), Your Honor. Consisting mostly of properties that she has in England and bank accounts that she has in Austria and in Luxemburg. So we've -- and we've had cooperation again I'll leave out those parts because they take place on a daily basis. We've had cooperation with the Luxemburg and Austrian authorities but again using your local counsel to make that happen.

So we're up to twenty million pounds (£20,000000) it's a start, in this case -- any other case that would sound like a lot of money. In this case it doesn't but it's -- it's a start as I said earlier and hopefully it will lead to eventually a lot more monies down the road.

The next firm is the firm that doesn't have a lot of time, its a hundred and seventy three hours that's the UGGC Firm that's in France. We don't have any active litigation in France but there's an ongoing active criminal investigation there. Again not unlike Austria we are filed as a participant and again can participate in those proceedings. We have again as a result of that have had access to a good deal of documentation and its part of our overall investigation.

that early on was before Your Honor that's the Vysya matter.

That eventually perhaps will be tried here at some point.

And as you'll recall we initially very early on found

seventy five million dollars (\$75m) sitting there. We've

been able to bring most of it back here. It's now deposited

with this court. And eventually will be dealt with here.

We also again are pursuing the freezing order against Sonja

Kohn and her related active -- related entities in Gibraltar

so that firm is assisting us there.

Next is Switzerland. There's very little going on there as you can see from the hours only a 3.6 hours. Again that's -- Sonja Kohn resides there. We keep an eye on that through an investigator. And we had monitoring of court proceedings there but it's been very little activity. She's very quiet in Switzerland.

Then the next one is in a firm -- well we actually have a firm -- two other firms that we've hired that are not if you will foreign firms. Well one's foreign, I shouldn't say that. The other foreign firm that we have hired is Brown Jacobson as conflict counsel in the United Kingdom.

As Your Honor well knows Taylor Wessing is a major international law firm as a result on several occasions we've run into conflict situations so we've hired Brown Jacobson who come in and assisted us with regard to various pleadings. They've assisted us with regard to the Rubin decision and making appearances there where we did ask the court to permit us to make a filing in connection with the Rubin appeal. We were permitted to do so and did file an amicas brief. So we've been active on that front as well.

Then the other firm that I would mention is

Greenfield Stein and Senior they are our estate counsel

here. What we have found is is that when we go out to other

jurisdictions, Massachusetts, Florida and others -
unfortunately this case has been a (Unintelligible) standing

at this point and given the nature of some of the individual

claimants there and some of -- and there are defendants who

many -- the avoidance actions their passed on. And we've

needed to get the assistance of State counsel to help us

file claims and to file proper notices and to do the things

that are necessary to preserve the trustees causes of action

and that's what Greenfield has been assisting us with.

Then there is two other firms before I get to Baker, Your Honor, your very familiar with both of them. One is of course Windels Marx who has been with us almost throughout the course of these proceedings. I need not repeat the history Your Honor's very well familiar with it. And Mr. Nistle (Phonetic) since appointment as trustee and he continues in that capacity and we've utilized that very well with regard to actions that he has brought in connection with as Your Honor knows the Madoff Corporations a lot of money we've alleged came out of BLMIS and purchased interest in a variety of different corporations such as Madoff Energy, Madoff Family and a number of others. And we have pursued those and Windels Marx has been very active, done a very excellent job pursing those as well as handling some of the other insider litigation that we -- we had a conflict with. We also had an issue with Bank of New York, they handled that issue for us as well. Across the board obviously a very, very, very fine job.

And then last but not least is Young Conaway and that's because Windels incredibly enough you know so I have conflicts in a case of this size and magnitude. So on occasion we've had to look to Young Conaway to assist us and they have done the same as Windels, they've been first rate in terms of their support and everything that we've done.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So that in a very brief and summary way, Your Honor, is to support that we've received without mentioning what Baker has done over the last reporting period which is from September through January of last year into January of this year. And I would ask Your Honor in light of the fact that there is no objection and what the trustee submits is very valuable services of all these law firms in achieving the results that the trustee has achieved that they be approved.

THE COURT: Does anyone want to be heard?

MR. BELL: Your Honor, Kevin Bell on behalf of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation SIPC supports the entry of an order approving of all of the fee applications mentioned by Mr. Sheehan so far.

THE COURT: Is there anyone else? Thank you all.

Interestingly the courts role is kind of curved in connection with these fees. There are what informs the court's position concerning these applications is a pair of bookends in the statue on one side. And both they're almost identical. On one side of the statute it says the court shall approve. On the other side of the bookend the court must approve. And so those bookends inform the court's position and three requirements have been made. And in this case all three requirements have been made. Number one that the fees are paid and not out of the estate at all are not

paid by SIPC itself so none of the customers or victims here are affected by the application.

Second there is no reasonable expectation of recovery of these fees which would ultimately go back to SIPC if there was that expectation. And the third that SIPC itself recommends approval of the fees so the court's position based upon an act of Congress back in 1978 is that the court actually must approve the -- the fees.

However in connection with the fee requests so far here today it should be recognized that we're dealing with what we all believe is the largest fraudulent Ponzi scheme in history. And it also given the litigious state of our legal system maybe the most litigated estate in -- in history which raise thousands of or hundreds if not thousands of adversaries against the trustee on one side and some very talented litigating opposition on the other side. So that one can expect that the fees involved in this form of litigation can be rather large.

Also interestingly as large as the fraud has been to date with the exception of what hold back there is there has been an enormous amount of recovery that's been achieved on the part of the trustee and counsel which is measured in many, many, many billions of dollars. And we can witness the distribution that's been approved of at least two point four billion dollars (\$2.4b) last week. So given all of

those circumstances the court's evaluation of the fee request is somewhat joined or somewhat joins the position of SIPC in recommending approval. Which I do approve and I'll entertain an order in that regard.

MR. SHEEHAN: All right thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, just -- just before I do I do have the order here and I will hand it up in a moment. What I didn't move but which I can but in light of your -- Your Honor's comments I was going to go through a recitation of the work that Baker Hostetler's done over the last reporting period. But Your Honor has intimate familiarity with it and if there's anyone in the world who knows what we've been doing it's certainly you in terms of the motions to withdraw the reference and what's already there --

THE COURT: There's another Judge in the district court who should be here.

MR. SHEEHAN: There is a certain Judge in the district court who has another intimate familiarity but I think he knows exactly what he's doing as well so you're familiar with our motions to withdraw the reference, the motions to dismiss, the various litigation activity before Your Honor. The enormous discovery efforts that we're engaging in and thank -- by the way I have -- very happy to report that working with the binding arbitrator has been a wonderful thing for all of us. I think it's moving the

Page 25 1 cases forward. We're getting work done which is very, very 2 helpful to all sides. And Judge Signowski's (Phonetic) done as you would expect a first rate job. So all of that is in 3 our reports. You know that we have active litigation with 4 5 Mr. Merkin and the Maxim case. During the reporting period 6 very active in the Katz Wilpon situation which is heavily 7 reported in that time frame. So I could go through in a greater detailed but I know Your Honor's familiar with it 8 9 and given Your Honor's earlier comments I would simply move 10 that the Baker Application also be approved. 11 THE COURT: The application is granted. 12 MR. SHEEHAN: All right. Your Honor, if I may I'd 13 like to hand up the order? 14 THE COURT: Sure. I've approved the order. 15 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 16 think we're done for the day. 17 THE COURT: Thank you all. 18 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. 19 MR. BELL: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 21 (Whereupon proceedings concluded at 10:27 a.m.) 22 23 24 25

	Pg 26 of 27		
			Page 26
1	RULINGS		
2		Page	Line
3	Application For Fees And Expenses Approved	23	25
4	Baker Application Granted	25	11
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 27 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Lee M. Sapp, certify that the foregoing transcript is a 4 true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Digitally signed by Lee M Lee M Sapp DN: cn=Lee M Sapp, o, ou, 6 email=digital1@veritext.com, c=US Sapp Date: 2012.08.30 16:15:33 -04'00' 7 8 AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET\*\*D-596 9 10 Veritext 11 200 Old Country Road 12 Suite 580 13 Mineola, NY 11501 14 Date: August 30, 2012 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25